



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP
1100-100 QUEEN ST
OTTAWA ON K1P 1J9 CA CANADA

In re Application of :
Schulhoff, et al. : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 10/012,003 :
Filed: October 29, 2001 :
For: CLEANING/DISINFECTANT :
COMPOSITION TO CLEAN SURFACES :

COPY MAILED

MAR 22 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed March 7, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned April 29, 2004 for failure to timely reply to the non-final Office action mailed January 28, 2004. The Notice set a three (3) month shortened statutory period of time for reply. No extensions of time in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 were timely requested. Notice of Abandonment was mailed August 5, 2004.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(c).

The instant petition has been reviewed and found in compliance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely submit a proper reply to the non-final Office action is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The requested power of attorney/change of correspondence address has been entered into the record.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or

direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

This application will be forwarded to Technology Center 1700 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.



Alesia M. Brown
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions